



### Distributed Memory Parallel Markov Random Fields Using Graph Partitioning

Colleen Heinemann, Talita Perciano, Daniela Ushizima, Wes Bethel

December 11, 2017

#### **Overview**

- What is MRF-based image segmentation?
  - Why is it a challenging and relevant problem?
- Distributed-memory parallel MRF-based image segmentation algorithm
- How well does it perform on modern platforms?
- How can we improve it in the future?



#### **Image Segmentation for Material Science**

- Study the properties of material samples by identifying different phases
- Asset 3D architecture of materials
- Challenges: amount of data, broad variety of sensors, specific characteristics of the image data
- Current single socket approaches unable to accommodate growing data sizes
- Our approach: Markov Random Field model with graph partitioning for parallelization through MPI



### Markov Random Fields (MRF)

- MRF algorithms are accurate and capable of parallelizing
  Use raw image and oversegmented image
- Problem: application to large data unfeasible due to NP-hard complexity
- Use graph partitioning to assist in making problem parallelizable



### Markov Random Fields for Image Segmentation

- Given an image represented by  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$  where each  $y_i$  is a region
- Goal: configuration of labels  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$  where  $x_i \in L$ and L is the set of all possible labels,  $L = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, M\}$
- MAP criterion: find a labeling that satisfies:

 $\mathbf{x}^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_x \{ U(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}, \Theta) + U(\mathbf{x}) \}$ 





Obtaining a region graph from an oversegmentation



### Markov Random Fields for Image Segmentation



T. Perciano, D. Ushizima, E. W. Bethel, Y. D. Mizhahi, and J. A. Sethian, "Reduced-complexity Image Segmentation under Parallel Markov Random Field Formulation using Graph Partitioning," in *2016 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, Phoenix, AZ, USA, Sep. 2016, IBNL-1005703.



**Algorithm 1** Distributed memory version of the Markov Random Field algorithm using graph partitioning and parameter estimation (MPI-PMRF). Line 8 is run in parallel to distribute the largest amount of work to increase performance.

**Input:** Original image, oversegmentation, number of classes **Output:** Segmented image and estimated parameters

- 1:  $K \leftarrow$  number of classes
- 2: Initialize parameters and initial labels randomly
- 3: Create graph from oversegmentation
- 4: for each Expectation Maximization iteration do
- 5: Divide graph into subgraphs (cliques) based on number of MPI processes to be used
- 6: Distribute cliques to MPI processes
- 7: **for** each non-zero clique of the graph **do**
- 8: Run Expectation Maximization and Maximum a Posteriori optimizations on assigned MPI processes
- 9: end for
- 10: Gather parameter estimation information for subgraphs
- 11: Update parameters
- 12: end for
- 13: Generate resulting output image



**Algorithm 1** Distributed memory version of the Markov Random Field algorithm using graph partitioning and parameter estimation (MPI-PMRF). Line 8 is run in parallel to distribute the largest amount of work to increase performance.

**Input:** Original image, oversegmentation, number of classes **Output:** Segmented image and estimated parameters

- 1:  $K \leftarrow$  number of classes
- 2: Initialize parameters and initial labels randomly
- 3: Create graph from oversegmentation
- 4: for each Expectation Maximization iteration do
- 5: Divide graph into subgraphs (cliques) based on number of MPI processes to be used
- 6: Distribute cliques to MPI processes
- 7: **for** each non-zero clique of the graph **do**
- 8: Run Expectation Maximization and Maximum a Posteriori optimizations on assigned MPI processes
- 9: end for
- 10: Gather parameter estimation information for subgraphs
- 11: Update parameters
- 12: end for
- 13: Generate resulting output image



**Algorithm 1** Distributed memory version of the Markov Random Field algorithm using graph partitioning and parameter estimation (MPI-PMRF). Line 8 is run in parallel to distribute the largest amount of work to increase performance.

**Input:** Original image, oversegmentation, number of classes **Output:** Segmented image and estimated parameters

- 1:  $\tilde{K} \leftarrow$  number of classes
- 2: Initialize parameters and initial labels randomly
- 3: Create graph from oversegmentation
- 4: for each Expectation Maximization iteration do
- 5: Divide graph into subgraphs (cliques) based on number of MPI processes to be used
- 6: Distribute cliques to MPI processes
- 7: **for** each non-zero clique of the graph **do**
- 8: Run Expectation Maximization and Maximum a Posteriori optimizations on assigned MPI processes

9: end for

- 10: Gather parameter estimation information for subgraphs
- 11: Update parameters

12: end for

13: Generate resulting output image



**Algorithm 1** Distributed memory version of the Markov Random Field algorithm using graph partitioning and parameter estimation (MPI-PMRF). Line 8 is run in parallel to distribute the largest amount of work to increase performance.

**Input:** Original image, oversegmentation, number of classes **Output:** Segmented image and estimated parameters

- 1:  $K \leftarrow$  number of classes
- 2: Initialize parameters and initial labels randomly
- 3: Create graph from oversegmentation
- 4: for each Expectation Maximization iteration do
- 5: Divide graph into subgraphs (cliques) based on number of
  - MPI processes to be used
- 6: Distribute cliques to MPI processes
- 7: **for** each non-zero clique of the graph **do**
- 8: Run Expectation Maximization and Maximum a Posteriori optimizations on assigned MPI processes
- 9: end for
- 10: Gather parameter estimation information for subgraphs
- 11: Update parameters
- 12: end for
- 13: Generate resulting output image



**Algorithm 1** Distributed memory version of the Markov Random Field algorithm using graph partitioning and parameter estimation (MPI-PMRF). Line 8 is run in parallel to distribute the largest amount of work to increase performance.

**Input:** Original image, oversegmentation, number of classes **Output:** Segmented image and estimated parameters

- 1:  $K \leftarrow$  number of classes
- 2: Initialize parameters and initial labels randomly
- 3: Create graph from oversegmentation
- 4: for each Expectation Maximization iteration do
- 5: Divide graph into subgraphs (cliques) based on number of MPI processes to be used
- 6: Distribute cliques to MPI processes
- 7: for each non-zero clique of the graph do
- 8: Run Expectation Maximization and Maximum a Posteriori optimizations on assigned MPI processes
- 9: end for
- 10: Gather parameter estimation information for subgraphs
- 11: Update parameters
- 12: end for
- 13: Generate resulting output image



**Algorithm 1** Distributed memory version of the Markov Random Field algorithm using graph partitioning and parameter estimation (MPI-PMRF). Line 8 is run in parallel to distribute the largest amount of work to increase performance.

**Input:** Original image, oversegmentation, number of classes **Output:** Segmented image and estimated parameters

- 1:  $K \leftarrow$  number of classes
- 2: Initialize parameters and initial labels randomly
- 3: Create graph from oversegmentation
- 4: for each Expectation Maximization iteration do
- 5: Divide graph into subgraphs (cliques) based on number of MPI processes to be used
- 6: Distribute cliques to MPI processes
- 7: **for** each non-zero clique of the graph **do**
- 8: Run Expectation Maximization and Maximum a Posteriori optimizations on assigned MPI processes

9: end for

): Gather parameter estimation information for subgraphs

: Update parameters

12: end for

13: Generate resulting output image



**Algorithm 1** Distributed memory version of the Markov Random Field algorithm using graph partitioning and parameter estimation (MPI-PMRF). Line 8 is run in parallel to distribute the largest amount of work to increase performance.

**Input:** Original image, oversegmentation, number of classes **Output:** Segmented image and estimated parameters

- 1:  $K \leftarrow$  number of classes
- 2: Initialize parameters and initial labels randomly
- 3: Create graph from oversegmentation
- 4: for each Expectation Maximization iteration do
- 5: Divide graph into subgraphs (cliques) based on number of MPI processes to be used
- 6: Distribute cliques to MPI processes
- 7: **for** each non-zero clique of the graph **do**
- 8: Run Expectation Maximization and Maximum a Posteriori optimizations on assigned MPI processes

9: end for

- 10: Gather parameter estimation information for subgraphs
- 11: Update parameters

12: end for

13: Generate resulting output image



**Algorithm 1** Distributed memory version of the Markov Random Field algorithm using graph partitioning and parameter estimation (MPI-PMRF). Line 8 is run in parallel to distribute the largest amount of work to increase performance.

**Input:** Original image, oversegmentation, number of classes **Output:** Segmented image and estimated parameters

- 1:  $\tilde{K} \leftarrow$  number of classes
- 2: Initialize parameters and initial labels randomly
- 3: Create graph from oversegmentation
- 4: for each Expectation Maximization iteration do
- 5: Divide graph into subgraphs (cliques) based on number of MPI processes to be used
- 6: Distribute cliques to MPI processes
- 7: **for** each non-zero clique of the graph **do**
- 8: Run Expectation Maximization and Maximum a Posteriori optimizations on assigned MPI processes
- 9: end for
- 10: Gather parameter estimation information for subgraphs
- 11: Update parameters
- <u>12: end for</u>
- ▶ 13: Generate resulting output image



#### **Experiments Overview**

- Types of Experiments
  - Verification testing
  - Performance testing
- Scaling characteristics
  - 2 datasets
  - Large-scale platform





### **Computation Verification**

- 98.99% accurate compared to ground truth
- Identical results with threaded version
- Berkeley Dataset Benchmark
  Higher accuracy than other image segmentations





(b)

(a)

Synthetic dataset  $\rightarrow$  (a) original image; (b) MPI-PMRF result





Experimental dataset  $\rightarrow$  (a) original image; (b) MPI-PMRF result



#### **Results**





(a) 3D rendering of original synthetic data(b) 3D rendering of MPI-PMRF result

(a)





- (a) 3D rendering of original experimental data
- (b) 3D rendering of MPI-PMRF result



### **Performance Analysis**

- Platform
  - Edison supercomputer at NERSC
  - Cray XC30 system
    - 24 cores per node
- Methodology
  - Run 2 datasets
  - Varying levels of concurrency
  - Scalability study
  - Additional performance metrics



#### Synthetic



#### When measuring runtime (in seconds) of the synthetic dataset, the results show the overall decrease in runtime as concurrency increases



#### Experimental



#### When measuring runtime (in seconds) of the experimental dataset, the results show the overall decrease in runtime as concurrency increases



#### **Results – Efficiency and Rate**

- Use "efficiency" and "rate" metrics to yield insight into scaling performance
- Efficiency: measure degree to which code scales compared to serialized version
- Rate: measure degree to which performance time increases as function of workload size and concurrency
- Measuring workload imbalance?

Efficiency

$$E(n,p) = \frac{C^*(n)}{C(n,p)}$$

Rate

$$R(n,p) = \frac{n}{T(n,p)}$$



K. Moreland and R. Oldfield, "Formal metrics for large- scale parallel performance," in *Proceedings of International Supercomputing Conference*, 2015.



- (a) Efficiency of the synthetic dataset; does not follow ideal efficiency of 1, but still provides an increase in performance

(b) Rate of the synthetic dataset does not follow ideal rate with a slope equal to 1, but provides a performance increase at different concurrencies and executes faster than when running in serial

> Insufficient workload Workload imbalance Communication





(a)

- Efficiency of the experimental dataset; (a) does not follow ideal efficiency of 1, but still provides an increase in performance
- (b) Rate of the experimental dataset does not follow ideal rate with a slope equal to 1, but provides a performance increase at different concurrencies and executes faster than when running in serial

Insufficient workload Workload imbalance Communication



### **Summary of Results**

- MPI-PMRF shows decrease in runtime as concurrency increases
- Limits to efficiently scaling:
  - Workload imbalance
  - Serialization due to inter-processor communication
  - Insufficient workload
- Future work
  - Increase problem size and complexity
  - Workload balance
  - Extend algorithm to work with 3D image volumes



### Conclusion

- Promising new approach for scalable image segmentation to help meet scientific needs
- Take advantage of large computational resources and process large data
- Additional work needed to improve performance













#### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA